

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/714,491	11/14/2003	Masahiro Yatake	U 014890-5	1032
	¹⁴⁰ LADAS & PAF	7590 03/08/200 RRY	7	EXAMINER	INER
	26 WEST 61ST			SHOSHO, CALLIE E	
	NEW YORK, 1	11 10023		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
				1714	
_					
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	
	3 MO	NTHS	03/08/2007		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/714,491	YATAKE, MASAHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Callie E. Shosho	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprend for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 De	ecember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	·		s is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		-				
Attachment(s)	 □	(DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. All outstanding rejections are overcome by applicant's amendment filed 12/18/06.

The new grounds of objection and rejection set forth below are necessitated by applicant's amendment and thus, the following action is final.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4 and 23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 4 and claim 24, which depend on claim 1 and claim 23, respectively, each recite "methylisothiazolone content is no more than 500 ppm" while claim 1 and claim 23 each recite "methylisothiazolone content is at least 10 ppm". Thus, claim 4 and claim 24 each fail to further limit the scope of the claim on which they depend, namely, claim 1 and claim 23 respectively, given that claim 4 and claim 24 are each broader than the claim on which they depend. That is, claim 4 and claim 24 each include values of methylisothiazolone content less than 10 ppm which is outside the scope of each of claim 1 and claim 23, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Application Number: 10/714,491 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- (a) Claim 1 has been amended to recite "wherein the methylisothiazolone content is at least 10 ppm and is an amount that, in consideration of the octylisothiazolone content and the combined amount of methylisothiazolone and octylisothiazolone, would result in ink having a final viscosity after storage in a stoppered vial for 30 days at 70 °C that is no more than 1.2 times greater than viscosity of the ink before storage" while newly added claim 23 also recites the same cited phrase. It is the examiner's position that this phrase fails to satisfy the written description requirement under the cited statute since there does not appear to be a written description requirement of the cited phrase in the application as originally filed, *In re Wright*, 866 F.2d 422, 9 USPQ2d 1649 (Fed. Cir. 1989) and MPEP 2163.

As support for the above amendment, applicant point to page 17, lines 21-27 and Table 3, page 18 of the present specification.

However, with respect to the amount of methylisothiazolone, it is noted that while these portions of the present specification provide support to recite amounts of methylisothiazolone of 10 ppm, 100 ppm, 200 ppm, 500 ppm, and 800 ppm, which, in consideration of the octylisothiazolone content and the combined amount of methylisothiazolone and

Art Unit: 1714

octylisothiazolone, would result in ink having a final viscosity after storage in a stoppered vial for 30 days at 70 C that is no more than 1.2 times greater than viscosity of the ink before storage, does not provide support for any amount of methylisothiazolone of at least 10 ppm or greater as presently claimed. That is, there is no support for amount of methylisothiazolone of, for instance, 50 ppm, 200 ppm, 600 ppm, etc. which, in consideration of the octylisothiazolone content and the combined amount of methylisothiazolone and octylisothiazolone, would result in ink having a final viscosity after storage in a stoppered vial for 30 days at 70 °C that is no more than 1.2 times greater than viscosity of the ink before storage.

Page 4

Further, with respect to the viscosity, it is noted that while page 17, lines 21-27 provides support to recite ink having a final viscosity after storage in a stoppered vial for 30 days at 70 °C that is 1.0 to no more than 1.2 times greater than viscosity of the ink before storage, does not provide support to recite ink having a final viscosity after storage in a stoppered vial for 30 days at 70 °C that is no more than 1.2 times greater than viscosity of the ink before storage which includes values of final viscosity after storage that are less than 1.0 times greater than the viscosity of the ink before storage, i.e. 0.01, 0.5, 0.8, etc. for which there is no support in the specification as originally filed.

(b) Newly added claim 22 recites "wherein the methylisothiazolone content is in an amount that, in consideration of the octylisothiazolone content and the combined amount of methylisothiazolone and octylisothiazolone, would result in ink having a final viscosity after storage in a stoppered vial for 30 days at 70 °C that is no more than 1.1 times greater than viscosity of the ink before storage". It is the examiner's position that this phrase fails to satisfy Application Number: 10/714,491

Art Unit: 1714

the written description requirement under the cited statute since there does not appear to be a written description requirement of the cited phrase in the application as originally filed, *In re Wright*, 866 F.2d 422, 9 USPQ2d 1649 (Fed. Cir. 1989) and MPEP 2163.

As support for the above amendment, applicant point to page 17, lines 21-27 of the present specification.

However, it is noted that while page 17, lines 21-27 provides support to recite ink having a final viscosity after storage in a stoppered vial for 30 days at 70 °C that is 1.0 to 1.1 times greater than viscosity of the ink before storage, does not provide support to recite ink having a final viscosity after storage in a stoppered vial for 30 days at 70 °C that is no more than 1.1 times greater than viscosity of the ink before storage which includes values of final viscosity after storage that are less than 1.0 times greater than the viscosity of the ink before storage, i.e. 0.01, 0.5, 0.8, etc. for which there is no support in the specification as originally filed.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application Number: 10/714,491

Art Unit: 1714

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 6

final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 571-272-1123. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30-4:00) Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Calle E. Shosho

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

CS

3/4/07